

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

\*\*\*\*\*

Kristin Worth, et al.,

Civil No. 21-1348 (NEB/LIB)

Plaintiffs,

v.

John Harrington, in his individual capacity  
and in his official capacity as Commissioner of  
the Minnesota Department of Public Safety, et al.,

Defendants.

PRETRIAL SCHEDULING NOTICE  
AND ORDER  
WHILE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA  
IS UNDER GENERAL ORDER  
RELATED TO COVID-19

\*\*\*\*\*

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

**Counsel should be aware and anticipate that the method to be used for the resolution of MOST discovery issue disputes and all other non-dispositive motions is presumed to be by “formal” motion practice. See, Local Rule 7.1(a-b) – Civil Motion Practice – of the Local Rules for the District of Minnesota.<sup>1</sup>**

**Counsel should also be aware and anticipate that “formal” motion practice, and other matters that need to be conducted in open Court upon the record, and Settlement Conferences, will all be scheduled for and take place in the Federal Courthouse for the division where the case is venued, except that all 3rd and 4th Division case proceedings will be held at the Federal Courthouse in St. Paul, Minnesota, unless otherwise notified.**

\*\*\*\*\*

In accordance with the provisions of Rules 16 and 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, in conformity with the Civil Justice Reform Act Implementation Plan for the District of Minnesota, which was adopted by Order dated August 23, 1993, and pursuant to the Electronic Case Filing Procedures for the District of Minnesota/Civil authorized by Order dated May 13, 2004, a Pretrial Scheduling Order in this matter will be set by United States Magistrate Judge Leo

---

<sup>1</sup> Informal procedures for the resolution of discovery disputes may be considered, but only with prior permission of the Court, and not pursuant to any *ex parte* requests.

I. Brisbois. The District of Minnesota, in recognition of current efforts locally and nationally to protect persons from unnecessary potential exposure to COVID-19, is monitoring Center for Disease Control and State of Minnesota guidance, and counsel should consult the public website for the District of Minnesota for information regarding current steps being taken by the Court at this time. Accordingly, in order to limit the potential for exposure due to travel by counsel and parties, the Pretrial Scheduling in matters before Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois for the time being will be decided solely on the written submissions to be made by the parties pursuant to the Pretrial Document Submissions Deadlines below.

In order to facilitate matters, it is –

ORDERED:

1. Unless otherwise directed, in accordance with Rule 26(f), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, counsel for the parties shall meet and confer for direct talks via telephone (email and letter exchanges will not be considered direct talks) no later than **September 13, 2021** for the purpose of:

- a. Preparing a joint proposed Pretrial Schedule for the case that shall include a plan for discovery setting forth specific parameters for anticipated discovery, including the number of depositions, the volume of documents expected to be produced, the volume of written discovery, and the extent of expert discovery.
- b. Preparing a joint plan to control excessive litigation costs and delays. Such a plan shall include such matters as focusing the initial discovery on preliminary issues that might be case dispositive, instituting document control and

retrieval mechanisms to contain costs, stipulation to facts to eliminate unnecessary discovery, adopting procedures for orderly discovery, and scheduling alternative periods for party discovery and any other matters counsel may agree upon to control excessive litigation costs and delays. The parties should also consider whether electronic discovery will be a significant part of pretrial discovery and discuss a plan or protocol for electronic discovery which will control costs for all parties. The parties are directed to the Court's Electronic Discovery Guide as a resource to aid their discussions. The Guide can be found at [http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/FORMS/Clerks\\_Office/eDiscovery-Guide.pdf](http://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/FORMS/Clerks_Office/eDiscovery-Guide.pdf).

- c. Preparing a proposed schedule to submit to the Magistrate Judge which sets forth suggested time periods for fact discovery, for the joinder of parties and for expert discovery; cut-off dates for both nondispositive and dispositive motions; and a trial readiness date.
- d. Considering whether or not they will consent to trial by the Magistrate Judge. If consent is given, specify a preferred date certain for trial setting. **[Date is subject to confirmation by the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Deputy.]** Consent by the parties to trial by the Magistrate Judge may also be given at a future time as well.

Counsel's attention is drawn to the attached Pretrial Scheduling Order template form which is to be used in preparing and submitting the Proposed Pretrial Scheduling Order. The Proposed Pretrial Scheduling Order is not to be filed on CM/ECF, but it shall be submitted directly to Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois at email address: [brisbois\\_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:brisbois_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov).

2. After counsel have met and conferred via telephone on the matters set forth above, they will file and submit a Joint Rule 26(f) Report (see Local Rule approved forms) setting forth each of the matters agreed upon on or before **September 23, 2021**. To the extent that counsel cannot agree upon any of the items set forth in Paragraph 1 above, then within the body of the Joint Rule 26(f) Report each party shall submit its own proposal for each item of disagreement for review by the Magistrate Judge. The Joint Rule 26(f) Report shall be filed on CM/ECF and a courtesy copy submitted directly to Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois at email address: [brisbois\\_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov](mailto:brisbois_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov).

3. In addition to the foregoing, on or before **September 23, 2021**, the Defendant(s) shall advise the Plaintiff(s) of any applicable insurance coverage and the limits of the same, and, if the Plaintiff has placed a medical condition, physical or mental, into controversy, then the Plaintiff shall provide a listing of all pertinent treating physicians, if any, and duly executed Medical Authorizations. See, O'Sullivan v. State of Minnesota, 176 F.R.D. 325 (D. Minn. 1997). Each party shall also file on CM/ECF, by no later than **September 23, 2021**, a concise Statement of the Case setting forth that party's:

- a. Version of the facts of the case;
- b. A listing of particularized facts which support the claimed liability or defenses, including any applicable statutes as identified by number; and

- c. An itemization and explanation of any claimed damages.

**This Statement of the Case is to be signed by counsel and filed on CM/ECF pursuant to the Electronic Case Filing Procedures of the District of Minnesota and served on opposing counsel.**

4. In addition, subjects contemplated by Rule 16(c), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, should be discussed as part of the 26(f) telephonic meet and confer. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, in advance of the Pretrial Document Submissions Deadline the parties should consider:

- a. Limitations and restrictions on expert testimony;
- b. The appropriateness and timing of Summary Judgment;
- c. The control and scheduling of discovery;
- d. Resort to alternative dispute resolution techniques;
- e. Reasonable limits on the time allowed for presenting evidence at trial; and
- f. **Counsel are expressly directed to seriously discuss settlement at the time of the 26(f) “meet and confer.” The results of that discussion shall be reported to the Court at the time of the initial Pretrial Conference, and EACH ATTORNEY SHALL SUBMIT, BY SEPTEMBER 23, 2021, A CONFIDENTIAL LETTER PROVIDING THAT PARTY’S CONFIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT AS TO WHEN PRIMARILY NECESSARY DISCOVERY MIGHT BE DONE SO AS TO SUGGEST THAT AN OPTIMAL TIME WOULD BE FOR A SETTLEMENT**

**CONFERENCE SO THAT SETTLEMENT EFFORTS  
WOULD BE MOST FRUITFUL. This confidential  
letter shall be submitted directly to Magistrate Judge  
Leo I. Brisbois at email address:  
brisbois\_chambers@mnd.uscourts.gov.**

5. Following the passing of the Pretrial Document Submissions Deadlines herein, an order establishing a schedule for all pretrial proceedings, and such other matters as contemplated by Rule 16, shall be entered, by the Court.

6. The Pretrial Document Submission Deadlines in this Notice and Order will not be continued pursuant to an independent Stipulation or agreement of counsel or by an informal unilateral application by a party. A continuance of the Pretrial Document Submission Deadlines may be granted **only** by this Court and **only** for good cause shown. However, the right to act upon a request for a continuance of the Pretrial Document Submission Deadlines herein, *ex parte* if exigent circumstances so warrant, is reserved to the Court.

BY THE COURT:

DATED: August 23, 2021

s/Leo I. Brisbois  
Leo I. Brisbois  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

\*\*\*\*\*

Kristin Worth, et al.,

Civil No. 21-1348 (NEB/LIB)

Plaintiffs,

v.

PRETRIAL SCHEDULING ORDER

John Harrington, in his individual capacity  
and in his official capacity as Commissioner of  
the Minnesota Department of Public Safety, et al.,

Defendants.

\*\*\*\*\*

In accordance with the provisions of Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court, to administer the course of this litigation in a manner which promotes the interests of justice, economy and judicial efficiency, the following Pretrial Schedule will govern these proceedings. **The Schedule may be modified only upon formal Motion and a showing of good cause as required by Local Rules 7.1 and 16.3.**

**Counsel shall also comply with the Electronic Case Filing Procedures For the District of Minnesota, pursuant to Order Adopting Electronic Case Filing, dated May 13, 2004.**

THEREFORE, It is –

ORDERED:

I.

That all pre-discovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) shall be completed on or before [INSERT DATE]. The period during which the parties must conduct all discovery

(whether fact or expert) shall terminate on **[INSERT DATE]**.<sup>1</sup> Disputes with regard to pre-discovery disclosures or discovery shall be called immediately to the Court's attention by the making of an appropriate Motion, and shall not be relied upon by any party as a justification for not adhering to this Pretrial Scheduling Order. No further or additional discovery shall be permitted after the above date except upon motion and by leave of the Court for good cause shown, and any independent Stipulations or agreements between counsel which contravene the provisions of this Order will not be recognized. However, upon agreement of counsel, or with leave of the Court, depositions in lieu of in-Court testimony may be taken after the close of discovery.

## II.

That all Motions which seek to amend the pleadings or add parties must be filed and the Hearing thereon completed on or before **[INSERT DATE]**.<sup>2</sup>

## III.

That all other nondispositive Motions shall be filed and the Hearing thereon completed prior to **[INSERT DATE – this date should be 30 days after the discovery deadline]**, by calling Jennifer Beck at 218-529-3520, Courtroom Deputy for Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois. All nondispositive Motions shall be scheduled, filed and served in compliance with Local Rule 7.1(a) and (b) of the Electronic Case Filing Procedures For The District of Minnesota. No discovery Motion shall be heard unless the moving party complies with the requirements of Local Rule 37.1.

## IV.

---

<sup>1</sup> See Local Rule 16.2(d)(3) of the United States District Court For The District of Minnesota Local Rules.

<sup>2</sup> This deadline does not apply to motions to amend pleadings to assert a claim for punitive damages. Motions which seek to assert claims for punitive damages must be filed and the Hearing thereon completed prior to the discovery deadline in Paragraph I.



A Mandatory Settlement Conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.5(b) in the above-entitled matter will be set before Magistrate Judge Leo I. Brisbois, **[INSERT DATE, TIME and LOCATION WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE COURT]** in **[SELECT ONE LOCATION]** [Courtroom No. 3, Gerald W. Heaney Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 515 West First Street, Duluth, Minnesota] [Courtroom No. 2, Edward J. Devitt Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 118 South Mill Street, Fergus Falls, Minnesota] [in the Devitt Courtroom, Warren E. Burger Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 316 North Robert Street, St. Paul, Minnesota]. A separate Notice of this Settlement Conference shall be issued outlining the parties' obligations for preparation and for appearance of the Conference.

V.

That no more than **[INSERT NUMBER]** Interrogatories (counted in accordance with Rule 33(a), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), shall be served by any party. [If the parties have stipulated to limitations on either the scope or number of discovery requests pursuant to Rule 34 and Rule 36, the terms of such stipulation should be inserted here as well.]

VI.

That no more than **[INSERT NUMBER]** depositions (excluding expert depositions) shall be taken by any party without prior Order of the Court.

VII.

That within the foregoing period allotted for discovery, but no later than the dates set forth below, the parties shall retain and disclose to opposing counsel all persons they intend to call as expert witnesses at trial.<sup>3</sup> Each party's disclosure shall identify each expert and state the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify. The disclosure shall be accompanied by a written

---

<sup>3</sup> This includes any witnesses who were retained for purposes of conducting an examination pursuant to Rule 35.

report prepared and signed by the expert witness.<sup>4</sup> As required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the report shall contain:

- a. The qualifications of the witness, including a list of all publications authored by the witness within the preceding 10 years;
- b. The compensation to be paid for the study and testimony;
- c. A listing of any other cases in which the witness has testified as an expert at trial or by deposition within the preceding four years;
- d. A complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis and reasons therefor;
- e. The data or other information considered by the witness in forming the opinions; and
- f. Any exhibits to be used as a summary of or support for the opinions.

The Plaintiff's disclosures shall be made on or before **[INSERT DATE]**. The Defendant's disclosures shall be made on or before **[INSERT DATE]**.

#### VIII.

The parties **[do]** **[do not]** contemplate taking expert depositions. No more than **[INSERT NUMBER]** experts may be deposed by any party without prior Order of the Court.

#### IX.

That each party shall fully supplement all discovery responses according to Rule 26(e), Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Any evidence responsive to a discovery request which has not been disclosed on or before the discovery cutoff or other dates established herein, except for good cause shown, shall be excluded from evidence at trial.

---

<sup>4</sup> If no written report is required by Rule 26(a)(2)(B), the disclosures shall still comply with Rule 26(a)(2)(C).

## X.

All dispositive motions must be filed by the moving party on or before **[INSERT DATE – this date should be 30 days after the date for non-dispositive motions]**.<sup>5</sup> All dispositive motions shall be scheduled, filed and served in compliance with the Electronic Case Filing Procedures For The District of Minnesota and in compliance with Local Rule 7.1. Counsel shall schedule the hearing by calling Kristine Wegner, Judge Brasel’s Courtroom Deputy, at 651-848-1530.

When a motion, response or reply brief is filed on ECF, two (2) paper courtesy copies of the pleading and all supporting documents shall be mailed or delivered to Kristine Wegner, Courtroom Deputy, at the same time as the documents are posted on ECF. If the moving papers are more than one inch combined, the papers should be provided in a tabbed, three-ring notebook.

## XI.

That this case shall be ready for Trial on **[INSERT DATE<sup>6</sup> - this date should be four (4) months after the date for dispositive motions]**, or 30 days after the Court renders its Order on any dispositive motion (whichever is later), at which time the case will be placed on the Court’s **[JURY] [NON-JURY]** trial calendar. That the anticipated length of Trial is **[INSERT NUMBER OF DAYS OR WEEKS]**.

BY THE COURT:

---

<sup>5</sup> The parties should attempt to schedule dispositive motions after all discovery has been completed and to schedule all dispositive motions for the same hearing and should strive to avoid duplication in their briefing. If the parties believe early or piecemeal dispositive motion practice is necessary, they should seek permission of the District Judge.

<sup>6</sup> **THIS DATE IS NOT A TRIAL SETTING DATE.** The parties will be notified by the Calendar Clerk of the assigned Judge to a case by way of a Notice of Trial as to when this case will be placed on the Trial Calendar. The above date is merely a notice to all parties to consider the case ready for trial as of this date. **DO NOT PREPARE FOR TRIAL UNTIL NOTIFIED.**

DATED:

---

Leo I. Brisbois  
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE